

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/037,447	EGAN ET AL.
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Raymond J. Henley III. (3) _____

(2) Heidi Erlacher. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 September 2006

Time: AM (EDT)

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

5

Prior art documents discussed:

Toba et al.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



Raymond J. Henley III
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that claim 5 may embrace the compound of Toba et al., (see Office action dated 12/19/05) and requested authorization to amend claim 5 by Examiner's amendment. While not specifically agreeing w/ the Examiner's assessment, authorization given for Examiner's amendment to claim 5..